

IRRIGATION AND POWER DEPARTMENT

The 3rd May, 1969

No. 1717-2Irr&EL-69/11584.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is required to be taken by Government on the public expense, namely, for the construction of 132 KV Tower Line of Ten villages of Sonepat Tehsil for the Haryana State Electricity Board, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of Section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana State Electricity Board, Chandigarh, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Collector of Rohtak District Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana State Electricity Board, Chandigarh and of the Executive Engineer, Panipat Division No. I, Haryana State Electricity Board, Panipat.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village and Hadbast No.	Area in acres	Description of Area	Tower No.
Rohtak	Sonepat	Sonepat, H.B. No. 174	K. M. S. 0—3—0 4781		2
Do	Do	Shadipur, H.B. No. 78	0—4—0 5/17, 12/9, 11/24, 17/12		3 to 6
Do	Do	Lehrara, H.B. No. 206	0—2—0 32/5, 32/22		7 and 8
Do	Do	Bandepur, H.B. No. 77	0—1—0 9/15		9
Do	Do	Binapur, H.B. No. 78	0—14—0 33/9/1, 32/13/1, 33/2, 32/20, 38/2, 39/8/2, 13, 40/17, 61/4, 60/15, 69/1, 70/ 18, 23, 87/10		10 to 20
Do	Do	Harsana Kalan, H.B. No. 208	0—6—0 19/18, 42/6/1, 42/21/2, 49/18/2, 13, 77/7, 78/25, 77/21		21 to 26
Do	Do	Rohtak	0—7—0 40/19, 44/13, 8, 45/25, 64/13, 74/5, 74/22, 90/17		27 to 33
Do	Do	Fatehpur, H.B. No. 214	0—6—0 2/11, 12/4, 12/21, 14/18, 29/15, 31/9—12		34 to 39
Do	Do	Kuwali	0—8—0 14/3/1, 13/15, 23/9, 31/3, 30/17, 43/10/2, 46/14		40 to 47
Do	Do	Anandpur	0—7—0 22/1, 21/12, 24/2, 25/18, 28/24, 29/5—29/12		48 to 54

No. 1669-2Irr&EL-69/11588.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is required to be taken by Government on the public expense, namely, for the construction of 220 KV Grid Sub-Station at Pipli (Kurukshetra), district Karnal for the Bhakra Management Board, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of Section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana State Electricity Board, Chandigarh, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Collector of Karnal District Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana State Electricity Board, Chandigarh and of the Executive Engineer, TLSC Division, Bhakra Management Board (Beas), Ambala City.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village and Hadbast	Area in acres No.	Description of Area	
				A. K. M. Rectangle No.	Khasra Nos.
Karnal	Thanesar	V. Drah Kalan	14—3—13	12 13 16 17	17/1, 17/2, 24 and 25 21/2 1, 2, 3/1, 6/2, 7 to 15 and 26 5 and 6
					According to Jamabandi for the year 1966-67
Karnal	Thanesar	V. Sunderpur	16—3—04	6 5 1	4, 6, 7, 14, 15/1, 15/2, 16/1, 16/2, 16/3, 17, 24, 25/1, 25/5 11, 12/1, 12/2 and 17 to 23 24 30, 31
					According to Jamabandi for the year 1968-69

B. L. AHUJA, Secy.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 2nd May, 1969

No. 2482-3Lab-69/10599.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of M/s Belgium Safety Glass Industries, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI P.N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 8 of 1969

between

Shrimati Bhagwani and the Management of M/s Belgium Safety Glass Industries, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

Present:

Shri R.L. Sharma for the claimant.
Nemo for the management.

AWARD

Shrimati Bhagwani was in the service of M/s Belgium Safety Glass Industries, Mathura Road, Faridabad. Her services were terminated and this gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute to this Court for adjudication *vide* Government Gazette Notification No. ID/FD/1889, dated 23rd January, 1969 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shrimati Bhagwani was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is she entitled ?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties in response to which a statement of claim was filed on behalf of the claimant. No body appeared on behalf of the management on the date fixed which was 28th March, 1969. On the contrary, a telegram was received in which it was stated that the dispute between the parties had been amicably settled and a short adjournment be granted. A letter dated 10th March, 1969 was also received in which it was alleged that Shrimati Bhagwani had handed over a document to the management saying that she had no claim on the management and her complaint may be withdrawn. Since no body put in appearance on behalf of the management in support of the allegations made in their letter, the claimant was directed to produce evidence in support of her case. Shri had appeared as a witness and has affirmed on oath that her services were terminated without giving her any opportunity to show cause and that no compromise has been arrived at with the management. Since there is no rebuttal to the evidence of the claimant, it is held that the termination of her services was not justified and in order and she is entitled to be reinstated with continuity of service with full back wages. No order as to costs.

P.N. THUKRAL,

Dated the 16th April, 1969.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 927, dated the 18th April, 1969

Forwarded (Four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P.N. THUKRAL

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 2534-3Lab-69/10601.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of M/S Paradise Industrial Corporation, Ambala Cantt:—

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 10 of 1969

between

SHRI RAMESH CHANDER GUPTA, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S PARADISE INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION, AMBALA CANTT.

Present—

Shri Roshan Lal Gupta, for the management.

Shri Harbans Lal, for the workman.

AWARD

Shri Ramesh Chander Gupta was in the service of M/S Paradise Industrial Corporation, Ambala Cantt. It is alleged that his services were wrongfully terminated on 30th April, 1968. This gave rise to an industrial dispute and the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (C) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Government Gazette Notification No. ID/UMB/170-A/68/1829, dated 23th January 1969:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Ramesh Chander Gupta was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties. The services on both the parties were effected but no body appeared on behalf of the workman nor any statement of claim was filed on his behalf. The management filed a written statement and they were directed to produce evidence in support of their allegations that the workman had himself abandoned the service and that his services were not terminated by the management. After the case was adjourned for evidence the workman appeared and filed a statement of claim, he submitted that he was delayed because his train was late.

The evidence of the parties have been recorded. The workman was permitted to take part in the proceedings. Shri Dharam Pal, Partner of the respondent concern, has stated that the workman was in their service for the last 2 or 3 years and was getting Rs 155 per mensem. He stated that the workman performed his duty on 29th March, 1968, till lunch time and thereafter he did not attend. So a letter copy Ex. M. W. 1/1 was sent to him on 6th April, 1968 under registered cover but he did not attend nor did he send any reply to this letter. The witness further stated that the workman made a complaint to the Labour Inspector and he appeared before him on 15th April, 1968 and even then an offer was given to him to resume his duty but instead he came and took his salary and went away. He again came on 18th July, 1968 and took the amount of bonus due to him. The witness stated that the workman also made an application under sub-section (2) of section 33C of the Industrial Disputes Act, praying that the amount due to him be computed and he never claimed re-instatement and he has claimed reinstatement only by means of a demand notice, dated 11th November, 1968, which was received through the Conciliation Officer. It is submitted that in fact the workman was never keen to serve in the respondent concern because he has started his own business.

The workman appeared as his own witness in support of his version that his services were terminated on 30th April, 1968, without even telling him what his fault was. It is however not necessary to decide in this case as to whether the services of the workman were wrongfully terminated because the workman has himself led evidence to prove that when the parties appeared before the Labour Inspector, it was agreed between them that the management would pay the amount due to the workman and that there would be no reinstatement. The representative of the workman has submitted that the workman has raised a dispute regarding the wrongful termination of his services because the management did not honour their commitment to pay the dues to the workman. It is not possible to appreciate this contention because if the workman agreed to relinquish his claim for reinstatement and agreed to recover his dues, he cannot claim reinstatement. The workman has also not proved what further amount is due to him which has not been paid to him. I, therefore, hold that the workman is not entitled to any relief in this case. I give my award accordingly.

No order as to cost.

Dated 18th April, 1969.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 930, dated 21st April, 1969.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 18th April, 1969

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Faridabad.

R. I. N. AHOOJA, Secy

राजस्व विभाग

युद्ध जागीर

8 मई, 1969

क्रमांक 1626-रIII-69/10775.- पूर्वी पंजाब के युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा 2 (ए) (1) और 3 (1) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल 100 रुपये (केवल सौ रुपये) की वार्षिक युद्ध जागीर निम्नलिखित लोगों को उन के सामने दी गई फसल से संनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहृदय प्रदान करते हैं :—

क्रमांक	जिला	जागीरदार का नाम	गांव	तहसील	वर्ष/फसल जब से जागीर दी गई
1	गुडगांव	श्री मामन सिंह, पुत्र धना राम	खोड़ा खुरमपुर	गुडगांव	खी, 1966
2	गुडगांव	श्री अमर सिंह, पुत्र खामा सिंह	बास हरिया	गुडगांव	खी, 1964
3	गुडगांव	श्री हरि किशन, पुत्र द्याराम	टीकली	गुडगांव	खी, 1966
4	गुडगांव	श्रीमति चांद कौर, विधवा दीप चंद	हयातपुर	गुडगांव	खी, 1965

क्रमांक 1690-रIII-69/10780.—पूर्वी पंजाब के युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948, की धारा 2 (ए) (1ए) और 3 (1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल 100 रुपये (केवल सौ रुपये) की वार्षिक युद्ध जागीर निम्नलिखित लोगों को उन के सामने दी गई फसल से संनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहृदय प्रदान करते हैं :—

क्रमांक	जिला	जागीरदार का नाम	गांव	तहसील	वर्ष/फसल जब से जागीर दी गई
1	अम्बाला	श्रीमति भोली, विधवा चूड़ सिंह	बलदेवनगर, अम्बाला शहर	अम्बाला	खरीफ, 1968
2	अम्बाला	श्रीमति परभाती, विधवा बलदेव सिंह	कमबास	अम्बाला	खरीफ, 1965
3	अम्बाला	श्रीमति के० कौल, विधवा ओ० एन० कौल	123, सटाफ रोड, अम्बाला छावनी	अम्बाला	खरीफ, 1965
4	अम्बाला	श्री मंगत सिंह, पुत्र गोपी चन्द	म० नम्बर 25, आदर्श नगर, अम्बाला	अम्बाला	खरीफ, 1965
5	अम्बाला	श्री शुरमख सिंह, पुत्र काहन सिंह	केसरी	अम्बाला	खरीफ, 1965
6	अम्बाला	श्री गिरधारी लाल, पुत्र ललमन दास	छोटी खोरी	नारायणगढ़	खरीफ, 1965

गुरचरण सिंह बिन्दरा,
अवर सचिव।